Maité Garrouste-Orgeas
Hans Flaatten
Rui Moreno

Understanding medical errors and adverse events in ICU patients

Received: 22 June 2015
Accepted: 4 July 2015
Published online: 7 August 2015
© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg and ESICM 2015

M. Garrouste-Orgeas (✉)
Service de Réanimation Médico-
Chirurgicale, Groupe Hospitalier Paris Saint
Joseph, 185 rue Raymond Losserand, 75014
Paris, France
e-mail: mgarrouste@hpsj.fr
Tel.: +33 144 123 415

M. Garrouste-Orgeas
IAME, UMR 1137, Paris Diderot
University, Sorbonne Paris Cité, F-75018
Paris, France

M. Garrouste-Orgeas
Outcomerea Research Group, 75019 Paris,
France

H. Flaatten
Department of Clinical Medicine,
University of Bergen, 5021 Bergen, Norway

R. Moreno
Unidade de Cuidados Intensivos
Neurocríticos, Hospital de São José, Centro
Hospitalar de Lisboa Central, Nova Medical
School, Lisbon, Portugal

Introduction

Medical errors are the consequence of multiple actions of a whole chain of organizational and humans interactions in which the individual does not have the intention of doing wrong (Fig. 1). Such errors may or may not lead to an adverse event, which is the actual harm that occurs to the patient. Adverse events may also come from complications not associated with medical errors. These two groups overlap to varying degrees, and this overlap highlights the potential preventable amount of adverse events.

[1] to 58 % [6] of the patients, including one-third from medication errors alone [4], and may contribute to mortality [1]. Understanding medical errors is complex and involves country (or health care system), hospital, group (ICU culture and other ICU factors) and individual levels [7]. These multi-level systems explain the low visibility of the cause-to-effect relationship between the error and its consequences and jeopardize prevention.

The unit level: relationship between safety culture, safety climate, teamwork, medical error and adverse event

How important is the problem?

Medical errors are common with ICU patients. Studies from Europe report a large variability regarding their incidence, from 2.1/1000 [1] to 804.5/1000 [2] patients days, depending on definitions and methods of reporting [3, 4]. Also, J.T. James claimed that the Institute of Medicine's report in 2000 severely underestimated the prevalence of medical errors and their effects on morbidity and mortality [5]. Medical errors affected from 26.8

The culture or climate behind the system is considered one of the most important factors in improving quality of care. Leadership, trust, respect, open communication, non-punitive actions and coordination of behaviour are essential for a multidisciplinary ICU team to provide safe care. The unit leadership has an important role to create this climate of confidence keeping in mind that safety culture may be perceived differently across caregivers [8]. Recently, no strong association between the reductions of medical errors in ICUs and improved safety culture was

Fig. 1 Factors influencing safety of care

demonstrated in two multicentre studies [2, 9]. However, we must be careful with the interpretation of these results. The studies did not negate the importance of safety culture. A safety culture that encourages the staff to disclose their errors and claim responsibility for them may have an impact of psychological manifestations after an error such as guilt, shame, anxiety states, loss of confidence, and questioning oneself at a professional level [10]. In other studies, the development of safety interventions was associated with decrease of medical errors [11], of mortality [12], and permitted the acquisition of new behaviours with a better disclosure of medical errors [13].

The individual level: relationship between ICU staff well-being and medical errors

Inadequate management at the hospital or ICU levels of care leads to fatigue and exhaustion for the ICU staff. Fatigue and sleepiness are associated with medical errors and danger for the professionals [14]. Work organization has an impact on medical errors. Shorter duty periods are increasingly mandated to improve patient safety and to decrease work fatigue, although usually more for residents than for senior ICU staff, despite the responsibilities being greater. England is a nice example of this practice. Reducing work shift was associated with lower rates of adverse events [15]. In the case of burnout, the relationship between burnout and medical errors is complex to demonstrate. Recently, two studies added new insights. A randomized study from Canada failed to demonstrate a relationship between three types of residents working

schedules (12, 16 and 24 h) and the occurrence of medical errors, burnout or sleepiness [16]. A French multicentre study involving 1500 (physicians and nurses/nursing assistants) caregivers failed to demonstrate an association between the occurrence of selected medical errors and burnout [2]. Depression, rather than burnout, was associated with medical errors [2, 17].

The goal of prevention

Prevention is better than cure, and particularly so with regards to medical errors. Using current knowledge to improve prevention should be possible in any ICU that seriously wishes to reduce medical errors to a minimum. However, we will probably never eradicate medical errors completely. Here are several important areas to consider:

- The backbone of preventing medical errors is an internal reporting system of all adverse events. This reporting system should have a low threshold and operate locally, i.e. the loop should be closed within the unit (reporting, analysis and implementation of change-information back to the staff). A reporting system is there only to learn from the mistakes and errors made and hence prevent their recurrence. Key success of using the reporting system includes active participation of the caregivers from the very start to build a user-friendly system. Including the caregivers in all the steps of the process (discussing modalities of reporting: anonymous or not, modalities of feedback) helps to build a safety culture.

- There must be an openness and transparency regarding medical errors, both between staff and ICU leaders, but also towards patients and family.
- ICU culture should change from a punishment-oriented culture (always looking for “who” instead of looking for a “why”) and focus on systems and not individuals.
- Standardization of procedures and equipment, and use of modern IT systems like electronic checking of prescriptions and delivery of medication, must be utilized to its full potential. It is nonsensical that in 2015 barcode scanners are used almost universally in all supermarkets in Europe but just in a minority of medication dispensers.
- All these objectives alone are not sufficient to reduce errors. Safe care and a safe environment mean that time must be given to caregivers to be aware of their errors so as to learn from them. This is an essential task to build a strong awareness of the positive effect of a medical error.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflicts of interest On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- Garrouste-Orgeas M, Timsit JF, Vesin A, Schwebel C, Arnodo P, Lefrant JY, Souweine B, Tabah A, Charpentier J, Gontier O, Fieux F, Mourvillier B, Troche G, Reigner J, Dumay MF, Azoulay E, Reigner B, Carlet J, Soufir L (2010) Selected medical errors in the intensive care unit: results of the IATROREF study: parts I and II. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 181:134–142
- Garrouste-Orgeas M, Perrin M, Soufir L, Vesin A, Blot F, Maxime V, Beuret P, Troche G, Klouche K, Argaud L, Azoulay E, Timsit JF (2015) The IATROREF study: medical errors are associated with symptoms of depression in ICU staff but not burnout or safety culture. *Intensive Care Med* 41:273–284
- Valentin A, Capuzzo M, Guidet B, Moreno RP, Dolanski L, Bauer P, Metnitz PG (2006) Patient safety in intensive care: results from the multinational sentinel events evaluation (SEE) study. *Intensive Care Med* 32:1591–1598
- Valentin A, Capuzzo M, Guidet B, Moreno R, Metnitz B, Bauer P, Metnitz P (2009) Errors in administration of parenteral drugs in intensive care units: multinational prospective study. *BMJ* 338:b814
- James JT (2013) A new, evidence-based estimate of patient harms associated with hospital care. *J Patient Saf* 9:122–128
- Merino P, Alvarez J, Cruz Martin M, Alonso A, Gutierrez I (2012) Adverse events in Spanish intensive care units: the SYREC study. *Int J Qual Health Care* 24:105–113
- Garrouste-Orgeas M, Valentin A (2013) What's new for patient safety in the ICU? *Intensive Care Med* 39:1829–1831
- Huang DT, Clermont G, Sexton JB, Karlo CA, Miller RG, Weissfeld LA, Rowan KM, Angus DC (2007) Perceptions of safety culture vary across the intensive care units of a single institution. *Crit Care Med* 35:165–176
- Valentin A, Schiffinger M, Steyrer J, Huber C, Strunk G (2013) Safety climate reduces medication and dislodgement errors in routine intensive care practice. *Intensive Care Med* 39:391–398
- Laurent A, Aubert L, Chahraoui K, Bioy A, Mariage A, Quenot JP, Capellier G (2014) Error in intensive care: psychological repercussions and defense mechanisms among health professionals. *Crit Care Med* 42:2370–2378
- Garrouste-Orgeas M, Soufir L, Tabah A, Schwebel C, Vesin A, Adrie C, Thuong M, Timsit JF (2012) A multifaceted program for improving quality of care in intensive care units: IATROREF study. *Crit Care Med* 40:468–476
- Huang DT, Clermont G, Kong L, Weissfeld LA, Sexton JB, Rowan KM, Angus DC (2010) Intensive care unit safety culture and outcomes: a US multicenter study. *Int J Qual Health Care* 22:151–161
- Hoffmann B, Muller V, Rochon J, Gondan M, Muller B, Albay Z, Wepler K, Leifermann M, Miessner C, Guthlin C, Parker D, Hofinger G, Gerlach FM (2014) Effects of a team-based assessment and intervention on patient safety culture in general practice: an open randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Qual Saf* 23:35–46
- West CP, Tan AD, Habermann TM, Sloan JA, Shanafelt TD (2009) Association of resident fatigue and distress with perceived medical errors. *JAMA* 302:1294–1300
- Landrigan CP, Rothschild JM, Cronin JW, Kaushal R, Burdick E, Katz JT, Lilly CM, Stone PH, Lockley SW, Bates DW, Czeisler CA (2004) Effect of reducing interns' work hours on serious medical errors in intensive care units. *N Engl J Med* 351:1838–1848
- Parshuram CS, Amaral AC, Ferguson ND, Baker GR, Etchells EE, Flintoft V, Granton J, Lingard L, Kirpalani H, Mehta S, Moldofsky H, Scales DC, Stewart TE, Willan AR, Friedrich JO (2015) Patient safety, resident well-being and continuity of care with different resident duty schedules in the intensive care unit: a randomized trial. *CMAJ* 187:321–329
- Fahrenkopf AM, Sectish TC, Barger LK, Sharek PJ, Lewin D, Chiang VW, Edwards S, Wiedermann BL, Landrigan CP (2008) Rates of medication errors among depressed and burnt out residents: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 336:488–491