The use of statins in people at risk of developing diabetes mellitus: Evidence and guidance for clinical practice
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Abstract

Reducing low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels using statins is associated with significant reductions in cardiovascular (CV) events in a wide range of patient populations. Although statins are generally considered to be safe, recent studies suggest they are associated with an increased risk of developing Type 2 diabetes (T2D). This led the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to change their labelling requirements for statins to include a warning about the possibility of increased blood sugar and HbA1c levels and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) to issue guidance on a small increased risk of T2D with the statin class. This review examines the evidence leading to these claims and provides practical guidance for primary care physicians on the use of statins in people with or at risk of developing T2D. Overall, evidence suggests that the benefits of statins for the reduction of CV risk far outweigh the risk of developing T2D, especially in individuals with higher CV risk. To reduce the risk of developing T2D, physicians should assess all patients for T2D risk prior to starting statin therapy, educate patients about their risks, and encourage risk-reduction through lifestyle changes. Whether some statins are more diabetogenic than others requires further study. Statin-treated patients at high risk of developing T2D should regularly be monitored for changes in blood glucose or HbA1c levels, and the risk of conversion from pre-diabetes to T2D should be reduced by intensifying lifestyle changes. Should a patient develop T2D during statin treatment, physicians should continue with statin therapy and manage T2D in accordance with relevant national guidelines.
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1. Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) accounts for approximately 50% of all deaths in Europe and, as the primary cause of disease burden, is estimated to cost the European economy Euros 196 billion, annually [1]. Major modifiable risk factors for CVDs include tobacco use, dyslipidaemia, hypertension, Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2D), poor diet, physical inactivity and obesity [2–4]. Because these risk factors tend to co-exist with a multiplicative, rather than additive, effect on CV risk [5–7], it is important to assess and treat the individual patient’s overall CV risk [2,3]. Moreover, since T2D and CVD have some overlapping risk factors and often co-exist, the 2013 European Society of Cardiology (ESC)/European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) Guidelines on Diabetes, Pre-diabetes, and Cardiovascular Diseases suggest that all patients with CVD or CV risk factors should routinely be screened for T2D [8] using either glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) or fasting plasma glucose (FPG) as markers. Diabetes risk scores should be used in advance of deciding which patients would benefit from glycaemia testing.

Current best practice for reducing CV risk includes lifestyle changes such as improved diet, weight loss, regular physical activity, and (when applicable) smoking cessation, together with pharmacotherapy to improve lipid profile and blood pressure [2,8–11,18]. Although the management of CV risk factors has improved over recent years [12], the clinical impact of these gains will potentially be attenuated by the increasing pandemics of obesity and T2D as atherosclerotic disease is accelerated in T2D [12–14]. Currently, the worldwide incidence of T2D is predicted to increase from 342 million in 2011 to 534 million by 2030 [15] and the number of CV deaths is predicted to increase from 17.1 million in 2004 to 23.6 million in 2030 [16]. The fact that both CVD and T2D are strongly related to a western lifestyle (i.e. smoking, insufficient exercise, and a high-fat, high-refined sugar diet comprising a large proportion of processed food) suggests that greater efforts are needed at every level (including Governmental policies targeted at the food industry) to encourage lifestyle changes. A tool kit providing practical advice for healthcare professionals has recently been developed to aid this process [8,17].

2. Statins are safe and effective for the reduction of CV risk in a wide range of patients

Dyslipidaemia – characterised by increased concentrations of the pro-atherogenic apolipoprotein-B containing lipoproteins (low-density lipoproteins [LDLs], very-low-density lipoproteins [VLDLs]) – is a CV risk factor whose management has been revolutionised by the introduction of statins. These drugs are of particular benefit in CVD prevention, and reduce CV events in a wide range of patient populations. Although statins are generally considered to be safe, recent studies suggest they are associated with an increased risk of developing T2D. This led the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to change their labelling requirements for statins to include a warning about the possibility of increased blood sugar and HbA1c levels and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) to issue guidance on a small increased risk of T2D with the statin class. This review examines the evidence leading to these claims and provides practical guidance for primary care physicians on the use of statins in people with or at risk of developing T2D. Overall, evidence suggests that the benefits of statins for the reduction of CV risk far outweigh the risk of developing T2D, especially in individuals with higher CV risk. To reduce the risk of developing T2D, physicians should assess all patients for T2D risk prior to starting statin therapy, educate patients about their risks, and encourage risk-reduction through lifestyle changes. Whether some statins are more diabetogenic than others requires further study. Statin-treated patients at high risk of developing T2D should regularly be monitored for changes in blood glucose or HbA1c levels, and the risk of conversion from pre-diabetes to T2D should be reduced by intensifying lifestyle changes. Should a patient develop T2D during statin treatment, physicians should continue with statin therapy and manage T2D in accordance with relevant national guidelines.
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density lipoproteins [VLDLs], chylomicrons [CMs] and VLDL-CM-remnants) and reduced levels of potentially atherogenic apolipoprotein-A-I containing high-density lipoproteins [HDLs] — accounts for a significant proportion of the population-attributable risk for cardiovascular disease [7]. Numerous clinical trials have demonstrated the benefits of lowering LDL-C with statins for the reduction of CV risk in a wide range of populations [10,19–24]. For example, the Cholesterol Treatment Trialist’s Collaboration (CTTC) meta-analyses showed that each 1 mmol/L (38.6 mg/dL) reduction in LDL-C is associated with a significant 21% proportional reduction in major vascular events in people with (0.79, 0.72–0.86; P < 0.0001) and without T2D (0.79, 0.76–0.82; P < 0.0001) [21]. More recent studies have demonstrated that statins are similarly beneficial for the primary and secondary prevention of CVD [10,20,24] and that the relative risk reduction is similar even in patients with very low absolute CV risk [22]. These results are partially explained by intra-vascular ultrasound (IVUS) studies showing that intensive LDL-C lowering using statins can significantly slow, and even reverse, the progression of atherosclerotic plaques in people with coronary heart disease (CHD) [25,26]. Consequently, statins remain the first-line lipid-lowering strategy for the vast majority of people with CV risk, including those with T2D.

Statins are associated with an excellent safety and tolerability profile [22,27–32]. Although observational studies had raised concerns about a possible link between long-term statin use and the increased incidence of non-CV morbidity or mortality (e.g. cancer, memory loss and psychiatric disorders), such claims have been fully refuted by randomised, placebo-controlled clinical trials [22,30–32]. However, no drugs are completely risk-free and a number of dose-dependent adverse events (AEs) have been reported for statins, the most common of which include elevations in liver enzymes, myalgia, and (rarely) rhabdomyolysis [27–29,33]. Reassuringly, a meta-analysis of 72 trials involving 159,458 patients showed no significant difference between statins and placebo on the incidence of rhabdomyolysis (0.25% versus 0.25%; OR 1.05, 95% CI 0.84–1.31) or elevated creatine kinase level (OR 1.09, 95% CI 0.85–1.41) [27]. However, of the currently used statins, the 80 mg dose of simvastatin was significantly associated with an increased incidence of myopathy in both the Aggrastat—Zocor (A–Z) study and the Study of the Effectiveness of Additional Reductions in Cholesterol and Homocysteine (SEARCH) study [34]. However, statin-related AEs are usually resolved by drug discontinuation and/or by reducing the statin dose and, in general, the benefits of statin use far outweigh the possible risks, even in primary prevention patients with very low CV risk [22,24].

A number of studies have raised the possibility that statins are associated with an increased relative risk of developing T2D [35,36,38–48], although the absolute risk remains small and may vary by baseline risk of developing T2D. This is a potential concern because long-term T2D is associated with, on average, a 2-fold increased risk of CV morbidity and mortality [49–51] and an increased incidence of death from non-CV related disorders, including several cancers, infectious diseases, external causes, intentional self-harm, and degenerative disorders [51]. Of course, risk levels vary depending on the duration of T2D and the presence of co-morbidities, such as chronic kidney disease. Nevertheless, approximately 10% of all CV deaths in the developed world over the past 10 years were attributed to T2D [50]. In 2012, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) changed their labelling requirements for statins to include a warning about the possibility of increased blood sugar and HbA1c levels [52]. This sparked an ongoing debate about the risks and implications of developing T2D with statin use that led the American Heart Association (AHA)/American College of Cardiology (ACC) to incorporate new advice in their 2013 cholesterol treatment guidelines [11]. The aim of this paper is to examine the evidence leading to these concerns and to provide practical guidance for primary care physicians on the use of statins in people with, or at risk of developing, T2D.

3. Guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemia and CVD

International guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemia and CVD recommend reducing LDL-C to specific targets or by specific statin regimes depending on a patient’s overall level of CV risk [2–4,8,11,53–55]. Patients with established T2D are either classified as high risk or, for those with T2D and at least one other CV risk factor or target organ damage, very high risk. For patients without T2D, CV risk stratification is carried out using the European Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation (SCORE) charts in Europe, the New Pooled Cohort Risk Equation (ASCVD) in the USA and Framingham Risk Score (FRS) charts in Canada [2,3,11,53–55], although some countries (e.g. UK) suggest statins for all patients with type 2 diabetes above certain age. The identification of low HDL-C levels as a residual risk factor for CVD [56] has led to the integration of HDL-C values into recent charts [2,3,8,9]. This is particularly important for people with obesity, a sedentary lifestyle, pre-diabetes or undiagnosed T2D, who typically have low levels of HDL-C in addition to elevated levels of triglyceride and remnant particles.

Most guidelines suggest lowering LDL-C to ≤2.5 mmol/L (100 mg/dL) in patients with high CV risk (T2D or SCORE 5–9% or marked elevations in single risk factors or FRS 10–19%) and to ≤1.8 mmol/L (70–80 mg/dL) or a ≥50% reduction in LDL-C in those with very high CV risk (T2D and other CV risk factors or SCORE ≥10% or ASCVD ≥7.5% or FRS ≥20% (which may be revised down shortly to 10%) or established CVD) [2–4,8,9,11,15]. The recommended first-line treatment for the majority of patients with raised LDL-C is lifestyle modification to include a Mediterranean-type diet, regular exercise, smoking cessation, and weight loss [2–4,8,9,11,15,17,53,55,57]. In
addition, statins should be prescribed for all high- to very-high risk patients that fail to achieve their recommended LDL-C target using non-pharmacological interventions [2–4,8,9,11,15,53,55,57] and, according to the 2013 ESC/EASD Guidelines for Diabetes, Pre-diabetes and CVDs, for all patients with T2D and high CV risk, irrespective of their baseline LDL-C level [8]. Although the recent guidelines from the AHA/ACC recommended high- and moderate-intensity statin therapy rather than LDL-C targets, patients with T2D and either CVD or other risk factors were among those targeted for intensive LDL-C lowering [11].

4. Guidelines for the diagnosis, prevention and treatment of T2D

4.1. T2D diagnosis

The development of T2D is a gradual process that is typically associated with a cluster of modifiable risk factors, including atherogenic dyslipidaemia, raised blood pressure, obesity, smoking and/or a sedentary lifestyle, all of which increase the risk of CVD. Thus among those with impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) and/or impaired fasting glucose (IFG) (pre-diabetes), the risk of incident CVD may be elevated due to the presence of the associated risk factors. Some, but not all, patients with pre-diabetes go on to develop T2D. Although T2D typically occurs after middle age, the increasing incidence of childhood obesity has resulted in a trend towards a younger age of onset. Other non-modifiable risk factors for T2D include a family history of T2D, male gender, and Asian or other non-white ethnicity [8].

Recommendations for the diagnosis of T2D have become more consistent in recent years and now include HbA1c levels ≥6.5% (48 mmol/L) or FPG ≥ 7.0 mmol/L (126 mg/dL) or 2-h oral glucose tolerance testing (OGTT) ≥11.1 mmol/L (200 mg/dL) [4,8,15,58,59]. HbA1c testing should be performed in a laboratory, using a method that is National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program-(NGSP-) [60] certified and standardised to the Diabetes Complications and Control Trial (DCCT) assay. All guidelines recommend similar thresholds for the diagnosis of IGT (FPG < 7.0 mmol/L [126 mg/dL] and/or 2-h OGTT ≥ 7.8 but <11 mmol/L [200 mg/dL]) and the recommended threshold for IFG according to WHO is 6.1 mmol/L (110 mg/dL).

4.2. T2D risk stratification

In 2011, approximately 342 million people worldwide (60 million people in Europe) had T2D and another 300 million had the characteristics of pre-diabetes (e.g. insulin resistance, hyperinsulinaemia, IGT or IFG) [15]. Increasingly, evidence suggests that dysglycaemia per se is a modest causal factor for CVD; that said approximately 60% of patients with T2D will develop CVD. A major problem in the treatment of patients with pre-diabetes or T2D is that patients may not display symptoms for many years, by which time secondary complications such as coronary, cerebrovascular and microvascular disease, can be well-established. Given that the level of CV risk increases with the duration of T2D [61,62], early and effective modification of CVD risk, whilst mitigating the risk of developing/worsening T2D, would seem sensible. Indeed, the benefits of earlier T2D screening are demonstrated by a recent analysis of data from 51,526 people with newly diagnosed T2D in Scotland, in which the majority of patients were screened for T2D within an acceptable period and the prevalence of retinopathy at first screening was significantly lower than that observed in previous studies [63].

To improve the early detection and management of people with or at risk of developing T2D, the 2013 ESC/EASD guidelines recommend a combined approach for CV and T2D risk screening [8]. All patients with CVD should undergo HbA1c and/or FPG testing. For the general population and in those with associated abnormalities (obesity, hypercholesterolaemia, family history of T2D etc.), a two-step approach is recommended that requires risk stratification using score charts followed by biochemical assessment only in those patients with high T2D risk. Several score charts are available for predicting the 10-year risk of developing T2D based on age, gender, ethnicity, family history of T2D and BMI. Of these, the FINnish Diabetes Risk Score (FINDRISC [64]; Fig. 1) is commonly used in Europe, but others are equally effective [65,66].

4.3. Prevention and treatment of T2D and CVD in people with high T2D risk

In people with a high risk of developing T2D (including those with established IGT and/or HbA1c levels in the range 6.0–6.4%), conversion to T2D can be delayed and, in some cases, prevented by timely intervention with intensive lifestyle changes and/or T2D medications [8,67–73]. Of these strategies, the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) (N = 3234) showed that 2.8 year’s (median) treatment with lifestyle change was more effective for the reduction of incident T2D among IGT individuals than treatment with metformin (~58% and ~31% versus placebo, respectively) [68]. A 10-year follow-up of the DPP study (N = 2766), in which each patient group was assigned intensive lifestyle changes as the first-line treatment for their original treatment, showed that, although the incidences of T2D during this extended follow-up period were similar in all 3 groups (5.9; 95% CI 5.1–6.8 per 100 person-years with lifestyle, 4.9 [4.2–5.7] with metformin and 5.6 [4.8–6.5] with placebo), the cumulative incidence of T2D remained lowest in the lifestyle group (~34% with lifestyle versus placebo and ~18% for metformin versus placebo) [67]. The equalisation of incidences during the DPP study was most likely due to the lifestyle change intervention offered to all three groups. A number of other studies have demonstrated similar observations [70–72], leading guidelines to recommend intensive lifestyle changes as the first-line treatment for the
prevention of T2D in patients with high T2D risk [8], followed by metformin if necessary [8].

According to international guidelines, CV risk reduction in people with established T2D should include a combination of intensive lifestyle changes, glycaemic control (targeting HbA1c <7.0%), BP reduction [<140/85 mmHg], and lipid management as previously described in Section 2 [4,8,15,58,59,74]. However, a meta-analysis of five prospective randomised controlled trials (N = 33,040) showed that, although intensive glycaemic control was associated with significant reductions in HbA1c, non-fatal MI and CHD risk, the reduction in CV events (2.9 per 200 T2D patients treated over 5 years) was far more modest than that achieved either with lipid-lowering therapy (8.2 fewer events for each mmol/L reduction LDL-C) or anti-hypertensive treatment (12.5 fewer events per 4 mmHg reduction in systolic blood pressure) [75]. In people without T2D but with high T2D risk, The Diabetes REduction Assessment with ramipril and rosiglitazone Medication (DREAM) study (N = 5269) showed that treatment with rosiglitazone increased the likelihood of reversion to normoglycaemia and reduced the incidence of T2D but had little effect on CV event rates [69]. More recently, the 18-month Carotid Atherosclerosis: Metformin For Insulin Resistance (CAMERA) trial demonstrated a significant reduction in glycaemia when metformin was added to a statin in non-diabetic subjects with established CVD and large waist circumference (N = 173) but no change in carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT) [76]. Although the Actos Now for Prevention of Diabetes (ACT NOW) trial showed a slower rate of CIMT progression in people with prediabetes treated with pioglitazone versus placebo, this result was independent of changes in glycaemia [77]. Overall, results suggest that the CV risk associated with

Type 2 diabetes risk assessment form

Circle the right alternative and add up your points.

1. Age
   0p. Under 45 years
   2p. 45-54 years
   3p. 55-64 years
   4p. Over 64 years

2. Body mass index
   0p. Lower 25 kg/m²
   1p. 25-30 kg/m²
   3p. Higher than 30 kg/m²

3. Waist circumference measured below the ribs (usually at the level of the naval)
   MEN
   0p. Less than 94 cm
   3p. 94-102 cm
   4p. More than 102 cm

   WOMEN
   0p. Less than 80 cm
   3p. 80-83 cm
   4p. More than 88 cm

4. Do you usually have daily at least 30 min of physical activity at work and/or during leisure time (including normal daily activity)?
   0p. Yes
   2p. No

5. How often do you eat vegetables, fruit or berries?
   0p. Every day
   1p. Not every day

6. Have you ever taken anti-hypertensive medication regularly?
   0p. No
   2p. Yes

7. Have you ever been found to have high blood glucose (e.g. in a health examination, during an illness, during pregnancy)?
   0p. No
   5p. Yes

8. Have any of the members of your immediate family or other relatives been diagnosed with diabetes (type 1 or 2)?
   0p. No
   3p. Yes: grandparent, aunt, uncle or first cousin (but no own parent, brother, sister or child)
   5p. Yes: parent, brother, sister or own child

Total risk score

| Low: estimated 1 in 100 will develop disease |
| Slightly elevated: estimated 1 in 25 will develop disease |
| Moderate: estimated 1 in 6 will develop disease |
| High: estimated 1 in 3 will develop disease |
| Very high: estimated 1 in 2 will develop disease |

Fig. 1. FINnish Diabetes Risk Score (FINDRISC) to assess the 10-year risk of developing T2D in adults. Adapted from Ryden et al [8].
dysglycaemia is modest and that lifestyle changes together with effective management of dyslipidaemia and blood pressure should be a priority for CV risk reduction in people with, or at risk of developing, T2D.

5. Evidence for the diabetogenicity of statins

The first study to report on T2D risk with statin use was the all-male West Of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study (WOSCOPS; N = 5974) [37]. This study suggested that, compared to placebo, pravastatin was associated with a 30% relative risk reduction (P = 0.042) in the hazard of developing T2D after 5 years. Therefore, the finding in Justification for the Use of Statins in Primary Prevention: An Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin (JUPITER) study (N = 17,802) that treatment with rosuvastatin 20 mg/day for 1.9 years was associated with a significant 25% relative risk increase in T2D among middle-aged men and women with ‘normal’ levels of LDL-C (median 108 mg/dL) and high levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) (median 4.2 mg/L), (3.0% vs. 2.4%) versus placebo (P = 0.01), was surprising [36]. This increase was accompanied by a small but significant increase in HbA1c levels. Overall, these findings led to a reappraisal of the original notion that statins may lessen T2D risks.

To investigate the totality of evidence provided by all trials with relevant data, Sattar et al. carried out a meta-analysis of 13 statin trials including 91,140 patients without T2D, which involved retrieving data for several of the existing trials [39]. Overall, standard-dose statin therapy (atorvastatin 10 mg, pravastatin 40 mg, simvastatin 40 mg or rosvastatin 20 mg) was associated with a 9% increased risk for T2D over 4 years (OR 1.09; 95% CI 1.02–1.17), with little heterogeneity (I² = 11%) between trials (Fig. 2). Later, a post-hoc analysis of the Stroke Prevention by Aggressive Reduction in Cholesterol Levels (SPARCL) trial (N = 3803) showed that new-onset T2D developed in 166 of 1905 patients randomised to atorvastatin 80 mg/day and in 115 of 1898 patients in the placebo group (8.71% vs. 6.06%, adjusted HR: 1.37; 95% CI: 1.08–1.75; P = 0.011) [41]. The implications of these results are discussed in Section 7.

5.1. The degree of statin diabetogenicity increases with statin dose

To further investigate and substantiate the potential effect of statins on T2D risk, Preiss et al. carried out a meta-analysis of data from five trials in which 32,752 participants without T2D at baseline were randomised to receive intensive- versus standard-dose statins [38]. The study demonstrated a higher risk of developing T2D (OR, 1.12) in recipients of intensive-dose statins, with no apparent heterogeneity between trials (I² = 0%) (Fig. 3). Together, these results suggest that the diabetogenic effects of statins are likely to be dose-related.

5.2. People with pre-existing risk factors for T2D are more likely to develop statin-mediated T2D than those without

A recent post-hoc analysis of data from the Treating to New Targets (TNT) (N = 7595) and IDEAL (N = 7461) trials showed that the statin-mediated development of T2D is associated with raised FPG levels (>100 mg/dL) and by the presence of components of the metabolic syndrome, including elevated levels of fasting TGs (>150 mg/dL), BMI >30 kg/m², and hypertension [41,78]. Among patients with 0–1 T2D risk factors at baseline, the risk of developing T2D during a median 5 years follow up was similar among patients treated with high-dose statins (atorvastatin 80 mg or simvastatin 40 mg) and moderate-dose statins (atorvastatin 10 mg or simvastatin 20 mg) (3.22% vs. 3.35%, respectively; HR: 0.97; 95% CI: 0.77–1.22). Among patients with 2–4 risk factors, T2D developed in 14.3% of the high-dose group and in 11.9% of the lower-dose groups (HR: 1.24; 95% CI: 1.08–1.42; P = 0.0027). This suggests that the dose-related diabetogenic effects of statins might be most apparent for people with pre-existing risk factors for T2D, but further research is needed to confirm this possibility.

Similarly, a recent analysis of the JUPITER study showed that, compared to placebo, rosvastatin-treated subjects with ≥1 risk factor for T2D (metabolic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>Statin</th>
<th>Placebo or control</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Weight (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Events</td>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>Events</td>
<td>Rate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCOT-LLA</td>
<td>7773</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPS</td>
<td>14573</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUPITER</td>
<td>17802</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOSCOPS</td>
<td>5974</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIPID</td>
<td>6997</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORONA</td>
<td>3534</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROSPER</td>
<td>5023</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEGA</td>
<td>6086</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFCAPS/TEXCAPS</td>
<td>6211</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4S</td>
<td>4242</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALLHAT</td>
<td>6087</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GISSI HF</td>
<td>3378</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GISSI PREV</td>
<td>3460</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall (P=0.112% [95% CI 0.00-50.2%])

Fig. 2. Statins are associated with an increased risk of T2D. Adapted from Sattar et al. [39].
syndrome, impaired FPG, BMI > 30 kg/m², or HbA1c > 6%) had a 28% increased risk of developing T2D (HR 1.28, 95% CI 1.07–1.54, \( P = 0.01 \)), whereas the risk in patients without any risk factors was negligible [35,79]. In this study, there was a significant 39% (95% CI 0.47–0.79, \( P < 0.0001 \)) reduction in the primary endpoint (MI, stroke, admission to hospital for unstable angina, arterial revascularisation, or CV death) in rosuvastatin-treated patients with risk factors for T2D and a 52% (CI 0.33–0.68, \( P = 0.0001 \)) reduction in patients without. Overall, 134 total vascular events or deaths were avoided for every 54 new cases of T2D in the high-risk group, compared to 86 total vascular events or deaths with no excess of incident T2D in the low-risk group. Even in people who developed T2D (270 on rosuvastatin vs. 216 on placebo, \( P = 0.01 \)), the point estimate for CV risk reduction associated with rosuvastatin (HR 0.63, 95% CI 0.25–1.60) was consistent with that for the whole study (HR 0.56, 95% CI 0.46–0.69). Together, these studies suggest that the short-term risk of developing T2D in patients without T2D risk factors is negligible, irrespective of the statin dose. Further studies are required to examine whether statins cause T2D per se or simply accelerate the progression to T2D in people with a pre-disposition for the disorder.

5.3. Are the diabetogenic effects similar for all statins?

Seven statins are currently available for the treatment of dyslipidaemia—atorvastatin, simvastatin, rosuvastatin, pitavastatin, lovastatin, pravastatin, and fluvastatin. Whereas some statins have been associated with elevations in glycaemic parameters in patients with and without T2D, others have demonstrated neutral effects [40,42,44,45,80–95]. However, since many of these studies did not systematically assess T2D incidence or were underpowered to detect a difference between statins, results should be treated with caution.

One of the earliest studies to demonstrate a potential difference between the diabetogenicity of statins was a post-hoc analysis of data from the Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy—Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (PROVE-IT) 22 trial in which patients without pre-existing T2D (\( N = 3382 \)) showed a 0.30% increase from baseline in HbA1c levels with atorvastatin 80 mg and a 0.12% increase with pravastatin 40 mg (\( P < 0.0001 \)) [83]. To determine whether individual statins have differing effects on insulin sensitivity (IS), a meta-analysis of 16 studies (\( N = 1146 \)) was carried out in patients without pre-existing T2D [91]. When pooled as a class, statins had no significant effect on IS compared with placebo. However, individual comparisons showed that, whereas IS was significantly improved with pravastatin (\( P = 0.03 \) versus placebo), there was a non-significant deterioration in IS with atorvastatin and rosuvastatin and a significant deterioration with simvastatin (\( P = 0.03 \)). Major limitations of this analysis include between-study differences in the method used to measure IS and failure to consider varying doses or durations of statin treatment. These results should therefore be considered preliminary and further large-scale trials would be helpful.

More recently, a meta-analysis of 17 RCTs including 113,394 patients without pre-existing T2D showed that, among the three low-dose statins assessed, pravastatin 10–20 mg/day was associated with the lowest risk for incident T2D (OR 0.90 vs placebo; 95% CI 0.71–1.35) followed by atorvastatin 10 mg (1.04; 0.75–1.46) and then rosuvastatin 10 mg (1.11; 0.81–1.52) [92] (see Appendix). Although the 95% CIs were large in these studies and overlap, the order of risk was replicated when the effects of moderate- to high-dose statins were compared (lovastatin 20–40 mg < pravastatin 40 mg < atorvastatin 80 mg < simvastatin 40 mg < rosuvastatin 20 mg) and results remained similar in several other ranking analyses.

A population-based cohort study carried out in 471,250 Canadian subjects without T2D showed that patients taking atorvastatin, simvastatin or rosuvastatin had an increased risk of developing T2D compared with those treated with
pravastatin (adjusted HR 1.22 [95% CI 1.15–1.29]; 1.10 [1.04–1.17] and 1.18 [1.10–1.26], respectively (see Appendix) [44]. This translated into an absolute risk for incident T2D of 31, 34 and 26 events per 1000 person years compared with 23 per 1000 person years for pravastatin. Crude event rates for fluvastatin and lovastatin (21.52 and 21.80 events per 1000 person years, respectively) were similar to those observed with pravastatin and the order of diabetogenicity was the same regardless of whether statins were used for the primary or secondary prevention of CVD. Although similar results were observed when statins were grouped by potency, the risk of incident T2D associated with use of rosuvastatin became non-significant (adjusted HR 1.01; 0.94–1.09) when dose was taken into account.

Finally, a retrospective cohort study carried out in 239,628 statin-treated patients from Irish primary care clinics demonstrated a significantly increased risk of incident T2D with atorvastatin (adjusted HR 1.25; 95% CI 1.21–1.28), simvastatin (1.14; 1.06–1.23) and rosuvastatin (1.42; 1.33–1.52), but not for pravastatin (1.02; 0.98–1.06) or fluvastatin (1.04; 0.91–1.18) (see Appendix) [45]. This study showed statistically significant overall dose and duration effects for all statins except fluvastatin, which only demonstrated a duration effect.

Whilst the above two observational studies are of interest, there remains potential for bias by factors including allocation bias. Additionally, the baseline risk of developing T2D is likely to vary between individuals allocated to different statin regimens, hence the most reliable information will likely come from meta-analyses of data from randomised controlled trials. To date, however, the only large-scale clinical trial specifically designed to investigate the effects of a statin on incident T2D is the Japan Prevention Trial of Diabetes by Pitavastatin in Patients with Impaired Glucose Tolerance (J-PREDICT) study [93]. Preliminary results from this prospective randomised, open-label, probe-design study show that lifestyle modification plus low- to moderate-dose (1–2 mg/day) pitavastatin was associated with a significant reduction in the cumulative incidence of T2D (defined either as 2-h plasma glucose ≥ 200 mg/dL or FPG ≥ 126 mg/dL measured at least once) in high-risk patients with IGT (N = 1269) compared to lifestyle modification alone. A number of small and/or retrospective studies examining the effects of pitavastatin on glycaemic control potentially support these results (see Appendix). However, further large-scale head-to-head trials are required to investigate the dose- and duration-effects of pitavastatin and to compare these effects with those of other high-potency statins.

Overall, the data published to date should be interpreted to indicate that the diabetogenicity of statins is a ‘class effect’. However, data are still evolving and there are signals that some statins have a potentially neutral effect on glycaemic control (see Appendix). As always, results from meta-analyses and prospective studies require confirmation in large-scale head-to-head clinical trials. Further studies are also required to investigate whether the observed differences are due to diabetogenicity per se or whether they are simply due to differences in study population (i.e. age or level of T2D risk at baseline), the method used to diagnose T2D, statin dose, and/or the potency and duration of treatment.

6. Mechanistic rationale for the diabetogenic effects of statins

T2D is a complex disorder characterised by both pancreatic beta cell dysfunction and insulin resistance of skeletal muscle, adipose tissue and liver. The precise reasons for the increased incidence of T2D with statins have not yet been identified. Possible explanations include residual confounding factors, such as improved survival with statin treatment and a greater chance of identifying incident T2D in statin-treated patients. However, analysis of electronic medical records from 500 UK general practices (285,864 men and women aged 50–84 years) showed that, during 1.2 million person-years of follow up, the risk of developing T2D (HR 1.45; 95% CI 1.39–1.50) was unaffected by survival rates [96]. The same observation (i.e. HR giving near identical values to OR) was evident in three of the trials with relevant data included in the comparison of diabetes risk by intensive versus standard dose statins discussed previously [38]. Another possible explanation is that, rather than causing T2D per se, statins simply accelerate its progression in people with pre-existing risk factors. Further work is needed to fully investigate this possibility.

Several biological mechanisms have been proposed for the diabetogenic effects of statins [40,43,97–99]. Under normal conditions, glucose enters pancreatic beta cells [97], skeletal muscle cells [100] and adipocytes [101] via insulin-responsive transmembrane glucose transporters (Glut 2 and Glut 4). Once inside the cell, glucose is phosphorylated by glucokinase, generating glucose-6-phosphate, which is subsequently metabolised to pyruvate. Pyruvate then enters the mitochondria, where it is metabolised as part of the tricarboxylic acid cycle to produce ATP. In beta cells, cytosolic ATP closes potassium channels, depolarises the membrane, and activates voltage-gated calcium channels, causing calcium to enter the cell and stimulate insulin secretion. In muscle, glucose is used for energy: in adipose tissue (where uptake is low), glucose is converted to fatty acids and stored as triglyceride. A number of animal and cell-based studies have reported statin-associated alterations in glucose metabolism that could result in decreased insulin secretion and/or insulin resistance [40,43,97–99]. In some of these studies, differences between statins have been observed. Further research into the mechanisms underlying statin-mediated T2D, possibly focused on mechanisms that have been linked to muscle dysfunction [102–104], will help us understand whether the potential differences in diabetogenicity between statins discussed in Section 4 (see Appendix) are real.
7. Further research

In order to fully understand the best treatment strategy for people with or at risk of developing statin-mediated T2D, a number of outstanding questions need to be answered. In addition to understanding whether there are differences between statins in diabetogenic potential, studies are required to determine whether statin-mediated changes in glucose levels represent true T2D (i.e., is statin-related hyperglycaemia reversible after cessation of statin-therapy?), and, to what extent long-term statin use impairs long-term glycaemic control in patients with established T2D.

7.1. Is the diabetogenicity of statins consistent across all patient groups?

In general, Asian populations are more responsive to the LDL-lowering effects of statins than Caucasians, primarily due to genetic differences in statin metabolism at the level of drug transporters and hepatic enzymes [105]. However, very few studies have compared the diabetogenic effects of statins across different races. Recently, a sub-analysis of observational data from the Women’s Health Initiative (WHI) suggested that, compared to statin non-users, statin-treated post-menopausal women (N = 3922) from Asia and the Pacific Islands had a non-significant trend towards a higher risk of developing T2D (HR 1.78; 95% CI 1.32–2.40) than their Caucasian (HR 1.49; 1.38–1.62) and African American counterparts (HR 1.18; 0.96–1.45) [106]. These results must be cautiously interpreted given the observational nature of the data.

Additional work is also required to understand the impact of age on statin diabetogenicity. Studies have shown that the risk of developing T2D increases with age, whereas the CV benefits of LDL-C-lowering are diminished [107,108]. Consequently, statin therapy (in particular high-dose statins) is substantially underused among older patients [109]. A meta-regression analysis of data from Sattar et al. [39] suggested that the association between statin therapy and the risk of incident T2D may be stronger in older versus younger participants. In contrast, no relationship was found with baseline BMI and the percentage change in LDL-C. These latter findings should be treated with caution, however, given the exploratory nature of the analyses.

7.2. Do statin-mediated changes in glucose levels potentially attenuate their CVD risk benefits?

Like statins, thiazide diuretics are associated with an increased risk of developing T2D [110]. The increases in blood glucose levels seen with thiazide diuretics are reversed when drugs are discontinued, even after many years of treatment [111]. Long-term studies are required to investigate the effects of statin-cessation on glycaemic control. In the meantime, statin-induced T2D should be considered and treated the same as established T2D.

8. Recommendations for the use of statins in patients with, or at risk of developing, T2D

Recommendations for the use of statins in patients at risk of developing T2D: The decision to prescribe a statin should always take into account risk vs. benefit. It is clear that the benefits of statin-use far outweigh the small absolute risk of developing T2D in patients with elevated CV risk and that, even if a patient develops T2D, the risks associated with CVD are much greater than the risks associated with T2D. Indeed, individuals with a higher risk of developing T2D have an increased risk for CVD and are therefore likely to gain greater absolute benefit from statin therapy than those with low T2D risk. Given that the current guidelines only recommend statins for patients with high- to very-high CV risk [2,3,8,11], statins should continue to be allocated based on existing CVD guidelines without regard to T2D risk. More recent reductions in CVD risk thresholds for statin treatment will still not be influenced by the diabetes risks, although of course lifestyle advice to prevent diabetes needs to be strongly reinforced.

Compared to less-intensive statin therapy, more-intensive therapy is associated with a highly significant 15% further reduction in major vascular events (95% CI 11–18; P < 0.0001) [20]. However, according to a recent meta-analysis, intensifying therapy is also associated with a 12% higher incidence of T2D [38]. This suggests that the number needed to harm per year for intensive- versus standard-dose therapy is 498 for incident T2D, whereas the number needed to treat per year is 155 for CV events. In absolute terms, intensive statin therapy accounts for 3.0 additional cases of T2D and 6.5 fewer first major CV events per 1000 patient-years. Again, the benefits for high- versus standard-dose statins outweigh the absolute risk of developing T2D in patients with high CV risk. This suggests that...
physicians should continue prescribing high-dose statins in accordance with international guidelines [2,3,8,11] (Fig. 4).

Before prescribing a statin, physicians should assess each patient’s CV and T2D risk, as described in Sections 2 and 3 [8]. They should then discuss the possible consequences of statin therapy with their patients and encourage them to reduce both their CV and T2D risk through intensive lifestyle changes. Overall, available evidence suggests that the short-term risk of developing statin-mediated T2D in patients without any risk factors for T2D is low irrespective of statin dose and that the risk gradually increases with increasing numbers of T2D risk factors [35,41,78,79] (Section 4). In our opinion, all patients with high CV risk considered for statins should have their risk for T2D assessed initially using a validated risk score. If their risk score shows low- to moderate-T2D risk (e.g. FINDRISC score <15 or equivalent using other T2D risk scores) then HbA1c and/or FPG levels need not be assessed (Fig. 4). In contrast, in patients with CVD and/or high CV risk and high- to very-high T2D risk scores (e.g. FINDRISC score ≥15 or equivalent), HbA1c or FPG levels should be measured pre-statin and re-assessed 3 months after statin initiation (Fig. 4). In patients with a high T2D risk score and/or FPG >100 mg/dL/5.5 mmol/L or HbA1c 6.0–6.4%, appropriate measures should be taken to reduce the risk of conversion from pre-diabetes to T2D. We suggest this might include targeting weight loss and reductions in glycaemia through more intensive lifestyle changes with or without T2D treatment.

8.1. Case study 1: patient with high CV risk and high T2D risk

A white, British, 60-year old man without CVD or T2D symptoms but with concerns about his general health presented for a health check. His BMI was 34 kg/m², his waist circumference 110 cm, he smoked and rarely exercised. Untreated blood pressure was 155/90 mmHg on repeat testing; fasting bloods revealed TG 2.5 mmol/L (225 mg/dL), LDL-C 3.3 mmol/L (128 mg/dL) and a non-HDL-C 4.5 mmol/L with HDL-C 1.0 mmol/L (39 mg/dL) and total cholesterol 5.5 mmol/L (213 mg/dL). The patient’s father had an MI at the age of 52 years and was recently diagnosed with T2D. In accordance with the 2013 ESC/EASD guidelines [8], the patient was assessed for overall CV risk using SCORE charts and for T2D risk using the FINDRISC chart. He was found to have a high CV risk (5% chance of 10-year CV mortality) and a high (1 in 3) risk of developing T2D within 10 years. HbA1c level was 6.3%. The physician discussed the consequences of statin therapy with the patient and advised him to reduce his CV and T2D risk through intensive lifestyle changes. A moderate-intensity statin was prescribed to reduce LDL-C to ≤2.5 mmol/L (100 mg/dL) and the patient was asked to return to the clinic for follow-up. After 3 months, LDL-C was below the recommended target and he had lost some weight through sustainable changes in his diet. However, his BMI remained 33 kg/m² and HbA1c was still 6.3%. Lifestyle advice was reinforced and the patient was re-assessed for LDL-C and T2D risk every year.

8.2. Case study 2: patient with low CV risk and high T2D risk

A 35-year old man living in France with total cholesterol 5.8 mmol/L (232 mg/dL), LDL-C 3.5 mmol/L (135 mg/dL), TG 3.5 mmol/L (310 mg/dL) and HDL-C 1.10 mmol/L (43 mg/dL) presented at a clinic with T2D symptoms. Both parents were diagnosed with T2D relatively early in life. The patient’s BMI was 31 kg/m², waist circumference was 103 cm, he had a sedentary lifestyle, poor diet, had never smoked and his BP was 120/75 mmHg. In accordance with the 2013 ESC/EASD guidelines [8], the patient was assessed for overall CV risk using SCORE charts and T2D risk using FINDRISC charts. His overall 10-year risk of CV mortality was found to be extremely low (<1%) but his

---

Fig. 4. Recommendations for glycaemia testing pre- and post- statin commencement in individuals without known diabetes [3,8,11,33,55].
FINDRISC score (15) was high. HbA1c levels were evaluated and found to be 6.0%. The patient was given lifestyle advice and was asked to return for repeat HbA1c measurement. HbA1 levels were reduced to 5.8%. As the patient’s CV risk was low, no statins were prescribed and the patient was kept under annual review by his General Practitioner.

8.3. Recommendations for the use of statins in patients who develop T2D during statin treatment

Numerous studies have shown that LDL-C-lowering using statins significantly reduces CV risk in people with established T2D and that further reductions in CV risk can be obtained using more- vs. less-aggressive treatment [21]. Consequently, international treatment guidelines currently recommend statins as the first-line lipid-lowering pharmacotherapy in the majority of people with T2D [2–4,11,15,54,55]. However, studies have yet to show whether statin-mediated changes in glucose levels represent true T2D and whether these changes can be reversed when statin treatment is stopped (Section 6). Moreover, the impact of statin-mediated T2D on both micro- and macrovascular risk is still unknown over the longer term. In general the benefits of LDL-C reduction on CVD prevention outweigh the potential CVD risk from elevated blood glucose levels. Patients that develop T2D during statin therapy should therefore continue with therapy according to national guidelines and be encouraged to reduce their risk of developing T2D-related complications (including CVD) through lifestyle changes.

9. Conclusions

Statins are the recommended first-line lipid-lowering drugs for the majority of patients with any level of CV risk. Although they are generally considered to be safe and well-tolerated, recent studies have demonstrated a dose-dependent increase in T2D with statins (Figs. 2 and 3). A number of plausible mechanisms have been suggested to support this effect (Section 5), but definitive proof for responsible mechanisms is lacking. Although our understanding of the diabetogenic effects of statins is increasing, more evidence is required to fully understand the impact of ethnicity, gender and age. Moreover, it is not yet known whether other drugs that increase glucose levels, such as beta-blockers and thiazide diuretics, increase the diabetogenic effect of statins or whether drugs that improve glycaemic control can attenuate potential statin-induced glycaemic changes e.g on microvascular disease. Until long-term data become available, patients with statin-induced elevations in blood glucose above the T2D threshold should be treated in the same way as those developing T2D de novo.

It is clear that the benefits of statin-use for the reduction of CV risk far outweigh the risk of developing T2D in the majority of patients. In all cases, patients should be assessed for their risk of developing T2D both before and during statin therapy. Physicians should then discuss the possible consequences of statin treatment with their patients and strongly encourage them to reduce both their CV and T2D risk through lifestyle changes. There is currently no evidence to suggest that a patient who develops T2D during statin treatment should discontinue treatment. Broadly speaking, patients should continue with their statin therapy in accordance with national guidelines, and the statin link to T2D should be used to further incentivise patients to adhere with lifestyle changes.
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#### Appendix

Studies comparing the diabetogenic effects of statins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statin</th>
<th>Patient characteristics</th>
<th>Study design (N)</th>
<th>Mean follow up</th>
<th>Main observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rosuvastatin 10 mg [92]</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Meta-analysis (N = 113, 394)</td>
<td>&gt;1 year</td>
<td>Increased risk of T2D vs placebo (OR 1.11; 95% CI 0.81–1.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosuvastatin 10–40 mg</td>
<td>Primary/secondary</td>
<td>Population-based cohort study (N = 471, 250)</td>
<td>14 years</td>
<td>Increased risk of T2D vs pravastatin (adjusted HR 1.18; 1.10–1.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosuvastatin 10–40 mg</td>
<td>prevention; age ≥66 yrs</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study (N = 239,628)</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>Increased risk of T2D vs no statin (adjusted HR 1.42; 1.33–1.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simvastatin 10–80 mg</td>
<td>Primary/secondary</td>
<td>Population-based cohort study (N = 471, 250)</td>
<td>14 years</td>
<td>Increased risk of T2D vs pravastatin (adjusted HR 1.10; 1.04–1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simvastatin 10–80 mg</td>
<td>prevention; age ≥66 yrs</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study (N = 239, 628)</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>Increased risk of T2D vs no statin (adjusted HR 1.14; 1.06–1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atorvastatin 10 mg [92]</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Meta-analysis (N = 113, 394)</td>
<td>&gt;1 year</td>
<td>Slightly increased risk of T2D vs placebo (OR 1.04; 95% CI 0.75–1.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atorvastatin 10–80 mg</td>
<td>Primary/secondary</td>
<td>Population-based cohort study (N = 471, 250)</td>
<td>14 years</td>
<td>Increased risk of T2D vs pravastatin (adjusted HR 1.22; 1.15–1.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atorvastatin 10–80 mg</td>
<td>prevention; age ≥66 yrs</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study (N = 239, 628)</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>Increased risk of T2D vs no statin (adjusted HR 1.25; 1.21–1.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pravastatin 10–40 mg</td>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>Meta-analysis (N = 113, 394)</td>
<td>&gt;1 year</td>
<td>Reduced risk of T2D versus placebo (OR 0.90; 95% CI 0.71–1.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pravastatin 10–40 mg</td>
<td>Primary prevention</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort study (N = 239, 628)</td>
<td>6 years</td>
<td>No change in T2D risk vs no statin (adjusted HR 1.02; 0.98–1.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitavastatin 1–2 mg</td>
<td>Japanese patients with IGT</td>
<td>Prospective randomised, open-label, probe design (N = 1269)</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>Reduced risk of T2D vs lifestyle changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitavastatin 4 mg [94]</td>
<td>Primary hyperlipidaemia/</td>
<td>Prespecified safety analysis of the randomised, double-blind, PREVAIL US study</td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td>No clinically-relevant glycaemic changes vs baseline for pitavastatin or pravastatin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mixed dyslipidaemia ±</td>
<td>comparing pitavastatin (n = 164) with pravastatin (n = 164)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>metabolic syndrome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitavastatin 4 mg [95]</td>
<td>Primary hyperlipidaemia/</td>
<td>Prospective Phase 3 study comparing pitavastatin 4 mg (n = 111) with simvastatin 40 mg (n = 49)</td>
<td>56 weeks</td>
<td>Pitavastatin: No change in FPG vs baseline at 12 or 56 weeks; Simvastatin: No change in FPG after 12 weeks; significant increase in FPG after 56 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mixed dyslipidaemia + ≥2 additional CHD risk factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitavastatin 1–4 mg [85]</td>
<td>Japanese patients with T2D</td>
<td>Subanalysis (N = 308) of the prospective post-marketing surveillance LIVES study</td>
<td>104 weeks</td>
<td>Significant (P &lt; 0.001) 0.28% decrease in HbA1c levels vs no treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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