Case report

Chiropractic manipulation: Reasons for concern?
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Abstract

Chiropractic’s popularity is rising among the general population. Moreover, few studies have been conducted to properly evaluate its safety. We report three cases of serious neurological adverse events in patients treated with chiropractic manipulation. The first case is a 41 years old woman who developed a vertebro-basilar stroke 48 h after cervical manipulation. The second case represents a 68 years old woman who presented a neuropraxic injury of both radial nerves after three sessions of spinal manipulation. The last case is a 34 years old man who developed a cervical epidural haematoma after a chiropractic treatment for neck pain.

In all three cases there were criteria to consider a causality relation between the neurological adverse events and the chiropractic manipulation. The described serious adverse events promptly recommend the implementation of a risk alert system.

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Chiropractics are defined as a health profession concerning the mechanical disorders of the musculoskeletal system, with emphasis on manual treatments including spinal manipulation or adjustment \cite{1}. Although the evidence about its efficacy is often contradictory because of the low quality of the clinical trials, the heterogeneity of the interventions and the diversity of the targeted populations, chiropractic interventions have recently gain a renew interest among health professionals and the general population. For instance, for the treatment of neck pain, several systematic reviews and randomized controlled trials found limited evidence that manipulation or mobilisation improved symptoms compared with other or no treatment \cite{2–8}. Although safety data from properly designed randomized controlled trials are scarce and sometimes inconclusive \cite{9}, there is a generalized belief that they correspond to safe treatments. We report three cases of serious neurological adverse events in patients after receiving chiropractic treatment.

2. Case report

2.1. Case 1

A 41 years old woman, with no relevant past medical history sought chiropractic treatment for neck pain with 2 months duration. She was submitted to one session consisting mainly of cervical manipulation. Three hours later, she complained of vertigo and experienced quick improvement within the next hours; in the next day she described a similar transient episode. Forty-eight hours after the cervical manipulation she noticed a sudden occipital headache followed by progressive consciousness deterioration and cardiorespiratory failure mandating mechanical ventilation for 24 h.

After regaining spontaneous ventilation, neurological examination revealed that she was afagic and hypofonic, with...
a rotatory nystagmus, right Horner Syndrome with hypoalgesia of the right hemiface, weakness and hypoalgesia of the contralateral limbs and ipsilateral dysmetria.

A MR performed on admission disclosed an ischemic stroke in the vertebro-basilar territory. The angio-MR showed a filiform basilar artery with stenotic vertebral arteries suggesting bilateral dissection. Transcranial Doppler showed asymmetric flow velocities between the vertebral arteries with increased flow resistance in the right. Conventional digital subtraction angiography confirmed the diagnosis of bilateral vertebral dissection (Fig. 1).

Routine laboratory tests, including erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), serum homocysteine, total cholesterol, and triglyceride levels, were all unremarkable. Performed serologies (antibodies anti-HIV, VDRL, TPHA) were also normal. Vasculitides and protrombotic status were excluded. Imaging of the abdominal vasculature, including the renal arteries, was normal.

The patient was placed on heparin and started rehabilitation, with only partial resolution of her symptoms at discharge (Rankin 3).

2.2. Case 2

A 68 years old woman with a past medical history of osteoporosis had three sessions of chiropractics for a cervical and low-back pain; in each session she was submitted to compression and traction of multiple musculoskeletal segments. Ten days later, as she experienced no improvement, she received a manual treatment that included bilateral suspension by the axillary regions. On the next day, she developed paresthesias involving mainly her left thumb, rapidly progressing to dorsiextension palsy of her wrist. Three days later, the same symptoms started on the right upper limb.

On the emergency room a bilateral wrist drop was documented and she was hospitalised.

Electromyography was compatible with a neuropraxic injury of both radial nerves. Serologic tests were made to exclude an infectious cause (namely HIV 1 and 2, CMV, VDRL, TPHA). Vasculitides were also excluded. Sleep paralysis and REM sleep behaviour disorder were appropriately ruled-out.

The patient initiated physiotherapy and presented a full recovery at the 2 months follow-up visit.

2.3. Case 3

A 34-year-old man complained of cervical pain, compelling him to seek for chiropractic treatment. A few hours after having cervical manipulation he noticed weakness and hypoalgesia involving the whole trunk and limbs.

He was admitted in the Emergency Department of our Hospital and a tetraparalysis (grade 3 on the MRC scale) was documented, with C5–C6 level of algic hyposthesia.

A cervical MR disclosed an epidural haematoma between C3 and C6 vertebrae (Fig. 2). An underlying bleeding disorder was excluded.

Emergency laminectomies of C3–C6 with removal of the haematoma were performed, leading to a full recovery.

3. Discussion

We describe three cases of serious adverse events requiring hospitalisation (two of which life-threatening) following chiropractic manipulation. In all cases there were criteria to consider a causality relation between the neurological disorders and chiropractic manipulation: consistency of time of exposure to neurological deficit onset, clinical plausibility, lack of identified concomitant factors and lack of alternative explanation [10]. We recognise the limitations of these case reports. We were not able to judge the adequacy of the technical approaches (we only described the manoeuvres mentioned by the patients), and as a consequence we cannot exclude the possibility the adverse events resulted from malpractice and not from the therapeutic intervention itself. In all cases it cannot be excluded with complete certitude a temporal bias meaning that the reason why patients asked for
a chiropractic treatment was already the onset of the adverse event reported. A pragmatic approach recommends appraising these events as they happened independently of these limitations.

Despite the increasing number of randomized controlled trials focused on its efficacy for the treatment of a multitude of diseases [11–16], the benefits of chiropractics are still not well established [9]. On the other hand, there is only one controlled trial published concerning the safety profile [17]. Although several observational studies describe the adverse events associated with chiropractic manipulation [18–20], the true incidence, risk factors and type of events are still unknown [21,22]. A survey from the Danish Chiropractors Association attempted was to estimate the occurrence of cerebrovascular accidents (CVA) after chiropractic treatment to the cervical spine. One case of CVA appeared for every estimated 1.3 million cervical treatment sessions and 1 for every 0.9 million upper cervical treatment sessions. Rotation procedures to the upper cervical spine were almost twice as often linked to CVI as nonrotation procedures of that area [23,24]. A survey of 177 neurologists in California reported 55 strokes, 16 myelopathies, and 30 radiculopathies. Most of the patients continued to have persistent neurologic deficits 3 months after the onset, and about one-half had marked severe deficits [25].

Chiropractic by not being a drug nor a medical device has not been submitted to formal evaluation by the medicinal agencies. Similarly, its safety profile escapes to the pharma-


