Neuropsychological abnormalities in children with the Panayiotopoulos syndrome point to parietal lobe dysfunction
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Abstract

Panayiotopoulos syndrome (PS) is a common epilepsy syndrome associated with rare clinical seizures and unknown localization of the epileptogenic area. Despite findings of normal development in patients with PS, recent neuropsychological studies point to subtle and diverse cognitive impairments. No well-outlined hypothesis about the localization of the brain dysfunction responsible for these impairments has been proposed. We further explored the cognitive dysfunctions in PS and made inferences on the most likely anatomical localization of brain impairment. A group of 19 patients (aged 6–12) with PS was rated according to spike activity and lateralization. The patients were submitted to a neuropsychological evaluation to assess general intelligence, memory, language, visual-perceptual abilities, attention, and executive functions. Using 35-channel scalp EEG recordings, the N170 face-evoked event-related potential (ERP) was obtained to assess the functional integrity of the ventral pathway. All patients with PS showed normal IQ but subtle and consistent neurocognitive impairments. Namely, we found abnormalities in the copy task of the Rey-Osterrieth Complex Figure and in the Narrative Memory Test. There was no correlation between neuropsychological impairments with spike activity and hemispheric spike lateralization. The N170 ERP was normal in all patients except for one. Our neuropsychological findings demonstrate impairments in visual-perceptual abilities and in semantic processing. These findings, paired with the absence of occipital lobe dysfunction in all neuropsychological studies of PS performed to this date, support the existence of parietal lobe dysfunction.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Panayiotopoulos syndrome (PS) is a frequent childhood epilepsy syndrome with consistent and easily identifiable clinical manifestations during seizure events [1,2] but with unknown brain area of onset of the epileptic activity. As in other benign childhood epilepsies, there is no significant cognitive impairment relevant to daily activities, and the neurological status is normal between seizure events. Because the EEG scalp paroxysms are complex and variable between patients [3], no consistent clues to the localization of the epileptogenic area are obtainable besides the general idea that the posterior cortex is mainly involved [4,5].

Several researchers have attempted to characterize the neuropsychological functions in patients with PS in detail, which could be a way to gain insight into the dysfunctional brain areas originating the epileptic activity. Subtle and diffuse neuropsychological impairments have been described, ranging from attention, memory, or intellectual dysfunction [6] involvement of visual-perceptual attention and [7] language (reading and writing), arithmetic, and perceptive abilities [8]. Nevertheless, no consistent picture of cognitive dysfunction could be drawn from these previous studies. The interpretations given to the reported dysfunctions have also been heterogeneous. Bedoin et al. [7] suggest that visual–perceptive attention abnormalities might be due to a top-down deficit resulting from the propagation of the interictal activity to the frontal lobes. Germanò et al. [8] postulate the integrity of higher-order processes in proposing an alternative bottom-up impairment due to defective acquisition of visual stimuli. The last interpretation does not find support in the study of De Rose et al. [9], in which no visual or perceptual abnormalities were found in an extensive battery of tests for occipital lobe function.

Cases of PS associated with structural lesions have been described [2,10], but despite the general suggestion that posterior brain areas are mainly involved, no consistent hypothesis as to the localization of the epileptic area has been put forward. An exception is a recent case report of a patient with PS with a parietal lobe lesion [11] whose electroclinical data led the authors to propose the inferior parietal lobe (IPL) as a possible localization of the epileptogenic area in PS. This hypothesis was proposed to explain both the neurophysiological and clinical manifestations of the syndrome. The available evidence from the neuropsychological studies,
revealing mainly visual–spatial impairments, does not clearly support parietal lobe dysfunction but is certainly compatible with this hypothesis.

The main goal of the present study was to obtain a profile of the neuropsychological dysfunctions in a representative sample of patients with PS that could lead to an anatomical hypothesis for the localization of the epileptogenic area.

2. Methods and subjects

2.1. Patients

Twenty-one children (Table 1: demographic information) referred for a clinical EEG sleep study in the Pediatric Clinical Neuropsychology Laboratory of Hospital Dona Estefânia in Lisbon, in the 2009–2012 period, were selected for this study after a clinical diagnosis of PS was established based on the following criteria: 1) age range between 6 and 12 at the time of assessment; 2) normal cognitive development; 3) history of prolonged (longer than 10 min) seizures, including impaired consciousness and/or eye deviation and autonomic manifestations; 4) normal EEG background; and 5) EEG with focal or multifocal spike activity compatible with PS, including the posterior cortex. The age range was selected in order to ensure that the same neuropsychological tests were used across every patient. After the identification of cases using the previous criteria, informed consent to participate in the study was obtained from the children’s parents, and a further session was booked, where additional neurophysiology and neuropsychology evaluations were performed. One patient was under methylenidate drug trial by his neurologist because of bad school performance tentatively attributed to attentional deficit. Two patients were excluded after the neuropsychological assessment revealed an IQ below 80.

2.2. Neurophysiological data

Patients were submitted to a clinical 1-h sleep EEG, using the 19 electrodes of the 10–20 system, and to a separate 1-h wake recording using a 35-channel montage (Fp1/2, F3/4, C3/4, P3/4, O1/2, F7/8, T7/8, P7/8, F11/12, Fz, Cz, Pz, FT9/10, FC5/6, FC1/2, TP9/10, CP5/6, CP3/4, P11/12) in a cap with ring sintered-AgCl electrodes (EasyCap Inc.). Impedances were less than 5 kΩ, the high and low pass filters were set at 0.5 and 70 Hz, respectively, and the sampling rate was set at 1000 Hz.

Both sleep and wake EEGs were visually inspected by an experienced clinical neurophysiologist (AL), and the hemispheric distribution of posterior interictal spikes was determined (Table 3). The abundance of spike activity was subjectively quantified using a qualitative 5-grade system, where grade 1 had few isolated spikes; grade 2 bursts of continuous spiking (less than 3 s between spikes) in less than 20% of the recording duration; grade 3 had 20–50%; grade 4 had 50–80%; and grade 5 had more than 80%.

During the wake EEG, visual-evoked potentials with the presentation of faces was performed, as well as the N170 potential quantified for both hemispheres, as described in Lopes et al. [12]. Briefly, black and white photos of Portuguese faces taken from the Coimbra’s Neuropsychological Assessment Battery [13] were randomly presented for 200 ms in a LCD screen at a distance of 1 m, with an intertrial period of 750 ms. The 35-channel EEG recording was converted to the activity of two fixed regional sources placed in the fusiform gyrus position of a predefined standard BEM model [14] in such a way that each source expressed the contribution of a hemisphere to the N170 potential associated with faces [12]. The ratios between the left and right hemispheres were calculated for each patient (Table 3).

2.3. Neuropsychological evaluation

The neuropsychological evaluation consisted of three different parts: clinical interview, general intelligence assessment, and the evaluation of four specific cognitive domains (Memory, Language, Attention, and Executive Functions).

2.3.1. Clinical interview

A clinical interview was performed with the patients and their parents in order to update the clinical records on seizure frequency, school achievements, and general health complaints.

2.3.2. General intelligence measure

We used, as a measure of general intelligence, the Portuguese version of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, III Edition (WISC-III) [15], including all six verbal subtests (information, similarities, arithmetic, vocabulary, comprehension, and digit span) and the seven performance subtests (picture completion, coding, picture arrangement, block design, object assembly, symbol search, and mazes).

2.3.3. Cognitive function assessment

Coimbra’s Neuropsychological Assessment Battery (CNAB, Table 2), a set of 16 tests, was used to evaluate specific cognitive functions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Characteristics of patients: demographic and clinical.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pts</td>
<td>Age (year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS8</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS9</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS10</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS11</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS13</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS14</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS16</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS17</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS18</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS19</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*IC (impaired consciousness); ED (eye deviation); DH (diffuse hypotonia); FC (focal clonus); Vo (vomiting); Pa (pallor); TCS (tonic–clonic seizure); Hypert (hypertonia); H (headache); Cy (cyanosis).
(Memory, Language, Attention, and Executive Functions). This comprehensive battery was previously subject to normalization in a representative sample of 1104 Portuguese children and adolescents from 5 to 15 years old [13]. Several validation studies were made with different clinical groups, including epilepsy [16], oppositional defiant disorder [17], or specific learning difficulties [18,19]. From the normalization sample of the CNAB, 19 healthy children protocols were chosen (matching age, sex, and parents’ abilities with the patient group) in order to compare results.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Clinical and neurophysiological evaluation

Overall clinical features of the group of patients with respect to age, sex, age at onset, total number of seizures, ictal semiology, and medication are presented in Table 1.

The large majority of the patients (13 out of 16, Table 3) had mild grades 2 (n = 5) and 3 (n = 8) spike activity in the sleep recordings,
while six did not show spiking while awake. Sleep not only put in evidence spikes in patients with normal awake EEGs but also induced the appearance of independent foci in some with focal spikes. Eleven patients had spikes over the left hemisphere and an equal number over the right hemisphere, demonstrating an overall symmetry of our group in spike lateralization (Table 3).

Eight patients had spikes in both hemispheres, but whereas they occurred independently in four cases, in the remaining four, there was evidence for secondary propagation from a leading hemisphere to the contralateral one.

A comparison of the spike grade of the eight patients medicated with antiepileptic drugs (Table 1) and nonmedicated ones revealed similar average values (2.43 and 2.56, respectively) and statistically did not differ from each other (t(14) = 0.29, p < 0.05).

The N170 right to left hemisphere ratio was within the normal range defined in Lopes et al. [12] in all cases except in patient 1 where it was increased (1.82). The average N170 ratios for the patients with left hemisphere spikes (1.00), right spikes (0.96), or independent bilateral spikes (0.98) were also within the normal range and overlapping. No functional difference between occipital lobe function, measured by the N170, could be found between the patient subgroups.

### 3.2. Neuropsychological evaluation

All patients had Full Scale IQ >80 (M = 95, SD = 16) in the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children. The Verbal IQ (M = 99) and Performance IQ (M = 93) were normal, as well as all the remaining subtests. Complete neuropsychological results are presented in Table 2.

When comparing the mean CNAB test scores between patients with epilepsy and the normative group, only two tests showed a significant difference (more than 3 standard score points, range: 1–19), with the clinical group scoring worse than the controls: the copy task of the Rey–Osterrieth Complex Figure (ROCF) with a difference of 4.8 standard score points and Narrative Memory Test (NMT) with a difference of 2.64/2.20, respectively, demonstrating a medium/rare spike activity for the NMT test.

For the NMT test, below average results were due to the immediate and delayed memory task but not to the recognition one. Most of the errors were omitted elements of the "stories", with no significant intrusions.

### 3.3. Comparison of neuropsychological and neurophysiological results

A comparison of the spike abundance score for the patients with normal and normal results in either the ROCF or NMT tasks (Table 3) did not reveal relevant differences, with average scores of 2.64/2.20 and 2.14/2.30, respectively, demonstrating a medium/rare spike activity for all subgroups.

An analysis of the hemispheric spike lateralization for the patients with low scores in the NMT supported a slight asymmetry because left independent spikes were present in 5 out of 6 patients, while only 3 out of 6 had independent right spikes. For the ROCF, there was also a slight left hemisphere dominance as 5 out of 9 patients had independent left spikes, while only 3 out of 9 had independent right spikes.

The N170 ratio (Table 3) did not demonstrate differences between patients with abnormal findings on the ROCF and NMT (average ratios of 1.03 and 1.03). These results do not support a role for the fusiform gyrus area, responsible for the N170 generation, in the neuropsychological abnormalities.

### 4. Discussion

Overall, our work demonstrates very subtle neuropsychological abnormalities in patients with PS, with more consistent difficulties in the visual–spatial domain (ROCF) and narrative memory recall (NMT). The normal results of the N170 visual-evoked potentials support normal lower occipital lobe function in the neighborhood of the fusiform gyrus [12], a result consistent with the normal function of the ventral visual pathway. This is in line with previous studies which have demonstrated a normal level of performance of these patients in a wide range of neuropsychological tests, namely, those evaluating occipital lobe function [9,20]. The more consistent abnormalities across these and our study converge in the area of visual–spatial organization, most likely representing dysfunction of the parieto–occipital visual dorsal pathway, while the more basic occipital lobe functions are remarkably normal. The clinical features of our group are comparable with other PS series [8,9,20]. All 19 patients had normal cognitive development and MRIs, mainly rare (<5) and prolonged (>10mn) autonomic seizures and EEG spike activity over the posterior brain regions.
A parietal lobe dysfunction seems to us a more consistent possibility. The right temporo-occipital lobe (N170 and basic visual information processing at the occipital lobe as a possible cause of the parietal lobe dorsal stream. According to the authors, such results could be due to strong functional links between the dorsolateral frontal lobes and the parietal dorsal stream. A deficit in “higher-order” functions such as planning or visual attention could explain this kind of poor ROCF copy results [7,29,30]. However, we have not found Attention (e.g. Cancelation tests and Trail Making Test Form A) or Executive Function (e.g. Tower of London and Verbal Fluency) deficits. Furthermore, the WISC-III performance IQ was normal. Our findings agree well with Germano et al. [8] results, showing also deficits in perceptive visual–spatial tasks, but not in any higher-order process, in a group of PS. Overall, these results suggest that top-down processes are spared.

On the other hand, the possibility of an early impairment in visual information processing at the occipital lobe as a possible cause of the observed ROCF findings does not find support either in the existing neuropsychology literature [9] which failed to demonstrate specific occipital lobe dysfunction in patients with PS or in our own results demonstrating normal N170 visual-evoked responses. In sum, we suggest that attributing dysfunction to the frontal lobe (attention and planning) or the occipital lobe (N170 and basic visual–perceptual functions) are not satisfactory explanations for the visual–organizational deficits found. A parietal lobe dysfunction seems to us a more consistent possibility.

Concerning the NMT results, we hypothesize a semantic processing impairment more than a specific memory one. Semantic processing refers to the capacity to access knowledge about the world, central to access word meaning, (verbal) reasoning, planning, and conceptual organization [31]. Against an episodic memory deficit is the fact that results of all other memory tasks are normal: memory for faces, ROCF copy errors made by our patient group with PS are similar with the ones found in patients with parietal–occipital lesions [27]: most of the figure elements are present; they are neither replicated nor their units multiplied but (slightly to mildly) rotated or misaligned between each other. Solms et al. [28] described a group of 16 patients who showed gross rotation on the copy task of ROCF. In 9 of those patients, an accurate localization of lesions could be made. Interestingly, all 9 patients had lesions of the frontal lobe, but only 4/9 showed involvement of the parietal lobe dorsal stream. According to the authors, such results could be due to strong functional links between the dorsolateral frontal lobes and the parietal dorsal stream.

A ROCF copy error can be due to top-down processes in children with benzodiazepine and cortical lesions. Epileptic Disord 2009;11:270–6.


